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## Cape Fear River Striped Bass Spawning Stock Survey-2018

## NEED

A harvest moratorium was effected for Cape Fear River Striped Bass in 2008 to promote population recovery. Monitoring is needed to assess the efficacy of recovery efforts. The goal of this project was to assess recovery indicators, including relative abundance, age-structure, sizestructure, and hatchery contribution.

## OBJECTIVES

1. Assess stocking contribution using parentage-based tagging (PBT).
2. Evaluate age- and size-structure.
3. Estimate relative abundance at long term sampling sites (locks and dams).

## METHODS

Personnel: April Boggs, Clint Morgeson, Kyle Rachels—District 4 Fisheries Biologists.
Waterbody: Cape Fear River-32 sampling events.
Fish Sampling Gear: Boat-Mounted Electrofishing, High Frequency, 7.5 GPP, 120 PPS, 6-7 kW.
Other Gear Utilized: YSI water quality meter for water temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), dissolved oxygen ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ), \% saturation, conductivity ( $\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm}$ ), salinity ( ppt ).
Species of Primary Interest: Striped Bass.
Sample Date(s): March 7, 8, 13, 21, 27; April 4, 11, 18, 19, 20, 25, 26; May 1, 3, 10, 15.
Funding Source: Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration and agency license receipts.
Project Name in BIODE Fish: Cape Fear River Anadromous Fish Stock Assessment.

## Citation:

Rachels, K. T., and A. Boggs. 2021. Cape Fear River Striped Bass spawning stock survey-2018. North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission, Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration, survey summary, Raleigh.
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## STUDY AREA



## BIOLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS

The 2018 Cape Fear River Striped Bass spawning stock survey continued the sampling methodologies employed since 2008, except access to Lock \& Dam 2 was limited due to construction activities. Thirty-one sampling events were conducted, including 25 at long-term sample sites located at the base of each lock and dam (Table 1). Overall, 129 Striped Bass were collected (Table 2). The maximum age ( $8 ; 2010$ cohort) indicated by PBT was also the maximum age possible using the PBT technique. The overall hatchery contribution was 92\% (115 of 125 fin clips). Several unknown-origin fish were in size-classes (e.g., greater than 650 mm ) that could predate the ability of PBT to assign origin; however, some of these fish of unknown origin were in size-classes that were generally considered to occur within the range of fish ages since PBT techniques were introduced in the basin and indicate wild reproduction or emigration from an outside source (Figure 1). Catch-per-unit effort was greatest at Lock \& Dam 1 and peaked during week 19 (Figure 2).

## MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Due to high hatchery contribution and lack of recovery since the moratorium was effected in 2008, Rachels and Morgeson (2018) recommended establishment of put-grow-take regulations for Striped Bass in the Cape Fear River. No conflicting data are evident, and that recommendation remains in effect.
2. Improve anadromous fish passage at locks and dams.
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## TABLES AND FIGURES

TABLE 1. Sample sites and site conditions for Cape Fear River Striped Bass sampling events in 2018. Temperature data were recorded in situ. Discharge data were collected from USGS gage numbers 02105769 (located at Lock \& Dam 1), 02105500 (located at William O. Huske Lock \& Dam), and 02102500 (located on the Cape Fear River in Lillington, NC). For site name and boating access area, LD1 = Lock \& Dam 1, LD2 = Lock \& Dam 2, LD3 = Lock \& Dam 3 (also known as Willian O. Huske Lock \& Dam), LLR = Lower Little River, and RL = Riverside Landing.

| Date | Week of year | Site name | Latitude | Longitude | Boating access area | Water temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Discharge (CFS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar 7 | 10 | LD1 | 34.4047 | -78.2928 | LD1 | 13.0 | 3,530 |
| Mar 7 | 10 | LD3 | 34.8352 | -78.8226 | LD3 | 11.5 | 2,850 |
| Mar 8 | 10 | LD2 | 34.6271 | -78.5770 | LD2 | 11.5 | 2,430 |
| Mar 13 | 11 | LD1 | 34.4047 | -78.2928 | LD1 | 11.0 | 2,750 |
| Mar 13 | 11 | LD3 | 34.8352 | -78.8226 | LD3 | 10.3 | 3,760 |
| Mar 21 | 12 | LD1 | 34.4047 | -78.2928 | LD1 | 12.1 | 5,140 |
| Mar 21 | 12 | LD3 | 34.8352 | -78.8226 | LD3 | 12.6 | 7,160 |
| Mar 27 | 13 | LD1 | 34.4047 | -78.2928 | LD1 | 11.0 | 7,540 |
| Mar 27 | 13 | LD3 | 34.8352 | -78.8226 | LD3 | 10.7 | 8,120 |
| Apr 4 | 14 | LD1 | 34.4040 | -78.2799 | LD1 | 16.2 | 2,920 |
| Apr 4 | 14 | LD3 | 34.8352 | -78.8226 | LD3 | 17.1 | 2,360 |
| Apr 11 | 15 | LD1 | 34.4047 | -78.2928 | LD1 | 15.8 | 4,820 |
| Apr 11 | 15 | LD3 | 34.8352 | -78.8226 | LD3 | 13.6 | 5,290 |
| Apr 18 | 16 | CFR98 | 34.4047 | -78.2928 | LD1 | 18.4 | 13,100 |
| Apr 18 | 16 | LD1 | 34.4047 | -78.2928 | LD1 | 18.4 | 13,100 |
| Apr 18 | 16 | LD3 | 34.8352 | -78.8226 | LD3 | 16.4 | 13,800 |
| Apr 19 | 16 | LD2 | 34.6271 | -78.5770 | LD2 | 16.6 | 13,200 |
| Apr 20 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 16 | LD1 | 34.4047 | -78.2928 | LD1 | 16.3 | 13,900 |
| Apr 25 | 17 | LD3 | 34.8352 | -78.8226 | LD3 | 16.7 | 6,330 |
| Apr 26 | 17 | CFR98 | 34.4047 | -78.2928 | LD1 | 17.6 | 9,280 |
| Apr 26 | 17 | LD1 | 34.4047 | -78.2928 | LD1 | 17.6 | 9,280 |
| May 1 | 18 | CFR98 | 34.4047 | -78.2928 | LD1 | 17.5 | 10,200 |
| May 1 | 18 | LD1 | 34.4047 | -78.2928 | LD1 | 17.5 | 10,300 |
| May 3 | 18 | LD3 | 34.8352 | -78.8226 | LD3 | 18.8 | 6,830 |
| May 3 | 18 | CFR261 | 35.2954 | -78.6863 | RL | 18.9 | 5,640 |
| May 3 | 18 | CFR259 | 35.2776 | -78.6838 | RL | 18.9 | 5,640 |
| May 3 | 18 | LLR | 35.2586 | -78.7065 | RL | 18.9 | - |
| May 10 | 19 | LD1 | 34.4047 | -78.2928 | LD1 | 21.6 | 2,800 |
| May 10 | 19 | LD3 | 34.8352 | -78.8226 | LD3 | 22.3 | 2,120 |
| May 15 | 20 | LD1 | 34.4047 | -78.2928 | LD1 | 24.8 | 1,310 |
| May 15 | 20 | LD3 | 34.8352 | -78.8226 | LD3 | 25.6 | 1,270 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Collections for NC State University PFAS study; fish from this site are excluded from CPUE analysis but included elsewhere.

TABLE 2. Summary sex-specific length, age, and PBT data from the 2018 Cape Fear River Striped Bass Spawning Stock Survey.

| Sex | Number <br> collected $^{\text {a }}$ | Mean total <br> length $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Maximum total <br> length $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Maximum <br> age | Hatchery <br> origin $^{\text {b }}$ | Unknown <br> origin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 60 | 630 | 804 | 8 | 51 | 8 |
| Male | 58 | 561 | 700 | 8 | 54 | 2 |
| Juvenile | 10 | 215 | 260 | 1 | 10 | 0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Column does not sum to 129; one fish jumped out of boat before measurements were recorded.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Hatchery and unknown origin columns do not sum to 129 ; four fish were excluded from PBT analysis.


FIGURE 1. Size, age-structure, and origin of Striped Bass from field collections in 2018. "No Genetic Tag" indicates fish that are of unknown origin. Size-class denotes floor of a $25-\mathrm{mm}$ size bin.
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FIGURE 2. Catch-per-unit effort (fish/h) by week-of-year at each of the three long-term electrofishing sites. Lock \& Dam 2 sampling was restricted due to construction activities.

