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Executive Summary

The North Carolina Wildlife Resource Commission (WRC) wanted to better understand the attitudes, values and perceptions about Sunday hunting of users of 93 game lands administered by the state, or in cooperation with partners. This document frames consensus guidelines that resulted from the stakeholder focus group process.

Three regional focus groups held June 9 through June 11 2020 to review the summary themes from a statewide survey and regional listening sessions. The focus groups were professionally facilitated by Group Solutions of Atlanta, GA. These sessions:

• Clarified stakeholder perspectives on potential solutions that emerged from the survey and listening sessions.
• Framed and refined evaluation criteria for selecting game lands most suited for Sunday hunting.
• Explored the willingness of non-hunters to support game lands financially.

To balance the need for broad representation and full participation in discussions, focus group sizes were limited and each organization was asked to send a single representative. Separate sessions were scheduled for statewide stakeholder groups. 32 stakeholder groups were selected by WRC to represent:

• Known hunting and non-hunting organizations that currently use game lands.
• Diversity of hunting and non-hunting perspectives.
• Past participation in WRC advisory groups.
• Unique or non-traditional game land user perspective (i.e. climbers, bikers, etc.).

Pre-session one-on-one telephone briefings were scheduled with each participant to frame specific assumptions for the focus group meeting, answer specific questions, and confirm technical ability to participate in a virtual meeting. Expectations were set that the focus groups would be focusing on finding middle ground solutions, not win/lose alternatives. The possibility that compromise recommendations might be no one’s preferred alternative was discussed. Participants were encouraged to think about any and all alternatives they could live with and to consider how to make these alternatives safe and workable. All participants expressed support of the process.

During the focus groups, potential options for Sunday hunting on game lands that had been generated by the survey and listening session were discussed and each group arrived at a similar conclusion:

Sunday hunting on a limited number of game lands is supported.

The following criteria were recommended to help the Commission select game lands for an initial effort to allow Sunday hunting:

• Rural game lands that are not heavily used by non-hunters.
• Proximity to other game lands and public lands that allow non-hunting use.
• Current use patterns of game lands. Be sure the value of “precious properties” that are unique to specific user groups is evaluated when selecting suitable Sunday hunting game lands.
• Proximity to urban areas. De-emphasize game lands that are near urban or developing areas if the potential for conflict is judged to be high.
• Avoid game lands where past conflict has been a pattern. These may be in urban areas, or there may be other sources of friction between users. Save these properties for future consideration once lessons are learned.
Overview

The North Carolina Wildlife Resource Commission (WRC) sought better understanding of the attitudes, values and perceptions by users of the 93 game lands administered by the state.

Background

Recent North Carolina legislation authorized WRC to consider changes to long-standing Sunday hunting prohibitions. The Outdoor Heritage Act of 2015 removed the prohibition on hunting Sunday hunting on private lands, in place since 1868. The Outdoor Heritage Enhanced Act of 2017 granted WRC authority to implement rules for Sunday hunting on game lands.

Similar to the 2015 Act, this new Act continues to prohibit hunting:

• With a firearm between 9:30 a.m. and 12:30 p.m.
• For deer with the use of dogs.
• Within 500 yards of a place of worship.

Group Solutions of Atlanta, GA was commissioned to design and provide neutral facilitation for a statewide engagement process. The process consisted of:

• Over 30,000 respondents participated in a web-based survey. Respondents were divided on the topic for many of the same reasons gathered in the 2018 survey.
• Participants were asked to provide middle-ground options for NCWRC to consider.
• Findings from the survey were summarized and presented to over 400 individuals in 6 face-to-face facilitated listening sessions across the state and two online virtual listening sessions.

The middle-ground options determined by polling at the listening sessions were compiled. These options were used as potential elements of a consensus solution and used as input into 3 Regional Focus Groups held June 9 through June 11, 2020. The focus groups included 32 stakeholder groups representing diverse hunting and non-hunting perspectives. These discussions:

• Examined potential solutions from the survey and listening sessions.
• Framed criteria for selecting game lands most suited for Sunday hunting.
• Explored the willingness of non-hunters to support game lands financially.
The goals of this process were to:

- Explore opinions and concerns about Sunday hunting on game lands.
- Identify values that make a quality experience on game lands.
- Frame potential ideas for Sunday hunting on game lands.
- Share highlights from the 2020 survey.
- Facilitate a productive and respectful statewide discussion.

Process assumptions included:

- Focusing on framing solutions for the Commission, and not a re-hash of problems.
- The listening sessions and focus groups focused on potential compromise solutions as the best way for hunters and non-hunters to get more of what they would like now, and into the future.
- Expectations were set that compromises might not be anyone’s first choice and that everyone might be a little unhappy with the final proposed solutions.

Results and Conclusions

North Carolina’s game lands are important to the quality of life for both hunters and non-hunting citizens.

There was strong agreement that North Carolina’s investment in game lands must be preserved for future generations. The long-term benefit of game lands to NC citizens is a good starting point. Hunters and non-hunters share expectations that game lands should be safe, have abundant wildlife, and well-managed habitat.

Both groups had similar concerns about urban encroachment, habitat fragmentation, and the need to preserve game lands for future users are shared. Hunters and non-hunters want access to game lands and prefer to be able to use them without seeing too many other people.

A sense of vested “ownership” and respect for game lands is likely to increase if more NC citizens use them. Increasing opportunities for access is a shared priority for hunters and non-hunters. Coalitions can be built around wildlife and habitat that go beyond just hunting and fishing, and can include a broad array of game land users.

With some caveats, it was generally agreed by all participants in the process that everyone who uses game lands should contribute something toward maintaining them. While tricky to put in place, the option of promoting hunting licenses (or fishing licenses) to non-hunters got some traction, particularly if it meant a 3-to-1 Federal match of funds. A variety of alternatives were discussed. Additional WRC study is recommended to thoroughly understand the options and support for user-pay.

Safety on game lands is a shared concern. The process encountered a major disconnect between hunters who believe safety statistics tell the whole story and the very real experiences and fears of non-hunters. In the survey and sessions, many hunters also candidly expressed concerns about personal safety while hunting on game lands.

The process confirmed that, with a lot of careful listening, compromise solutions for opening Sunday hunting on a limited number of game lands could be developed.

These changes should be subject to a trial period, objective review, and appropriate modification as warranted.
Guiding Principles and Considerations for Sunday Hunting on Game Lands

The following cross-cutting principles emerged from the three focus group sessions:

Make solutions simple, easy and understandable

- Focusing on species-related hunting options would cause confusion.
- Be clear if game lands are or aren’t huntable. Partitioning a game land into user areas may add complexity.
- Be consistent with existing seasons and regulations, allow Sunday hunting of all species, by all legal weapons. However, concerns and opposition about hunting with dogs was routinely voiced.
- Stakeholders prefer plain language.

Avoid limiting opportunities to enjoy game lands

- Strive to provide opportunities for all NC citizens to use game lands regardless of their preferred activity.

Seek continuous safety improvement on game lands

- Hunters and non-hunters perceive safety VERY differently. Data showing limited hunting/shooting related injuries or fatalities will not resolve this issue. The perception of safety is important.
- An understanding of human dimensions will be necessary.
- Tailored education and outreach message for non-hunters would be helpful.

Keep the conversation going

- Continue to involve as many specific user groups as possible.
- Ensure non-hunting stakeholders continue to be part of the conversation.

Get started with solutions, but move carefully and deliberately

- Learn and adapt.
- Focus on incremental steps.
- Make future decisions based on new information and insight as it becomes available.
Criteria
From the focus groups’ discussion, the following key criteria were recommended for selecting game lands for the initial effort to allow Sunday hunting:

- Rural game lands that are not heavily used by non-hunters.
- Proximity to other game lands and public lands that allow non-hunting use.
- Current use patterns of game lands. Be sure the value of “precious properties” that are unique to specific user groups is evaluated when selecting suitable Sunday hunting game lands.
- Proximity to urban areas. De-emphasize game lands that are near urban or developing areas if the potential for conflict is judged to be high.
- Avoid game lands where past conflict has been a pattern. These may be in urban areas, or there may be other sources of friction between users. Save these properties for consideration in the future once lessons are learned.

Additional Considerations
While the focus groups advocated for simplicity and clarity in any changes, there was recognition that there may be special situations where the following elements of a solution may be useful:

- Expanded trail buffers are complex to manage, but could be part of a solution on the Appalachian Trail or Mountains to Sea trail where future use is projected to increase.
- Consider promoting nearby State and Local parks that may be equally or better suited for some non-hunting activities than game lands. Consider promoting nearby State and Local parks that may be better suited for some non-hunting activities.
- Consider adding a day to existing 3 or 6 day-per-week game land where biologists are comfortable the resource would not be negatively impacted.
- Ensure the solution can be easily communicated.
- Phase in and communicate any changes. People are used to certain Sunday behaviors. Don’t surprise them!
- If new locations are opened for Sunday hunting, changes will need to be supported with local signage at parking and access areas.
- Anticipate at least a year of education after any change is implemented. During the first year of implementation, extra effort should be made to avoid making compliance punitive.
- Implement regular news updates to major user groups that they can share with their members.
Hunter Concerns:

Three recurring concerns were expressed by hunting groups:

- Non-restrictive access to public lands is a priority for all hunting groups
- Partitioning of game lands was viewed as complex, confusing and unworkable
- Limiting hunting opportunities by species or weapon was opposed

Additional hunter issues

Some hunters believe they have already compromised. They view church setback zones, 9:30-12:30, and dog hunting provisions in the current law for private lands as compromises.

Increases in WRC education and outreach efforts will be essential. Efforts should include messages to help hunters and non-hunters better understand each other's perceptions when they are in the field. Non-hunters need to be aware of hunting seasons when using game lands. Hunters need to be aware of other users when they are on game lands. Structured dialogue between the two groups can proactively help avoid future conflict.

Trespass laws were strengthened in Pennsylvania when the Legislature approved Sunday hunting. This option could address concerns of adjacent private property owners who currently want a “day of rest” from trespassers, gunshots, dogs and noise.

Dog hunting was unpopular with many hunters and non-hunters. The noise and disruption were cited by landowners in rural areas as a big issue.
Non-Hunting Concerns

Birdwatching issues

- The Carolina birding trail overlaps a number of game lands. Consider not moving forward with Sunday hunting at the most popular birding sites, and game lands with the highest bird biodiversity from expanded Sunday hunting.

- The Christmas bird count is a long running tradition that came up in every session. Consider preserving this tradition at Sandhills, Butner Falls of the Neuse and Jordan.

- Bird counts are being completed successfully in states with Sunday hunting. Consider what can be learned from the Texas birding trail and other popular birding spots.

- Explore how other states with Sunday hunting handle bird counts.

Equestrian Issues

- Consider game lands that prohibit horseback riding as potential candidates to open for Sunday hunting.

- Clarify rules in the upcoming regulation cycle and provide easy to understand language on the WRC internet.

- Re-assess game lands for additional opportunity. Seek year-round opportunities. Simplify the regulations.

- Consider the option of time slots for specific use, similar to what is being explored in New Mexico. Under this scenario, hunters would get to use the game lands part of the morning. Hunters would be off the land, or there would be no firearm discharge during mid-day when riders could be present and return later.

Hiking and Climbing Issues

- Pay attention to trails of statewide significance. (AT/Mountain to Sea).

- Although buffers were generally perceived to be problematic due to marking and enforcement, in some instances (i.e. Mountain to Sea and Appalachian Trails), expanded buffers may be part of a solution.

- Proactively anticipate future changes (i.e. Mountain to Sea will get more use as the trail expands).

Biking Issues

- Articulating a broader, more inclusive approach to game lands is important to SORBA.

- User fees are not opposed, but some of the funds would be expected to benefit bikers.

- Improved trails, signage and access might be part of this conversation.
Alternatives the Process Considered

Each of the three regional focus groups began with a list of potential alternatives from the survey and listening sessions. These included:

Designate different game lands for specific uses

Choose different game lands for different uses on Sundays. This option includes some areas designated for hunting and some for non-hunting activities.

Archery hunting can address a lot of issues

If applied to specific properties, not state-wide, archery-only hunting on Sunday had higher support. There are alternatives within the archery-only option that scored higher. Archery-only zones might be identified closer to trails. Archery-only hunting might make sense on smaller properties located in more urban areas.

Archery-only zones can provide a silent buffer between firearms and non-hunters. Over time, safety concerns could take care of themselves as all users become more comfortable with Sunday hunting.

Require all game land users to wear blaze orange during hunting seasons

This was subject to a discussion specific to NOT wearing blaze orange during turkey and dove seasons. Wording can easily be devised to address this concern. Non-hunters will require ongoing education and communication to understand this requirement.

Monitor game lands for maximum capacity and limit access accordingly

The need to balance the demand for popular game lands is inevitable. As an example, the Florida Fish and Wildlife Commission has a mobile phone application for signing in at Florida game lands. Onsite sign-in logs were another, lower-tech option.

Promote state and local parks that might better meet the needs of non-hunters

This is a strategy to divert non-hunters to local and state parks that have opportunity and infrastructure and away from certain game lands. This option can be used in conjunction with all the others.

If Sunday hunting is allowed, limit hunting on other days of the week

This option does not address the concerns of non-hunting users and local landowners that view Sunday as their day to be free of gunshots, truck noise, and dogs. This option does address game lands that are currently 3-day hunt areas.
Allow hunting of feral hogs on Sundays
This option was not controversial. It can be expanded to other species. In general, species-specific options were viewed as a negative.

During turkey season allow turkey hunting on Sundays

Add more game lands
To increase non-hunters’ willingness to pay, offering additional game land and amenities is a key deliverable. Test specific groups paying for specific land and infrastructure they value. Long-term, this is an excellent strategy for WRC.

Expand buffers
Well-marked set-backs will provide some peace of mind in heavily utilized trail areas. Expanding existing trail buffers may be a promising area for closer review.

Specific permits
Using permits for Sunday hunting on specific game lands near urban areas (Jordan) could work.
This option could spread out the hunting pressure on Sundays.
Additional Alternatives That Were Reviewed

Each focus group also considered additional alternatives that received lower levels of support from the survey and listening sessions. These included:

**Sunday hunting on all game lands**
The favorite option with hunters but had little support from non-hunters and hunters opposed to Sunday hunting. It represents a win-lose option.

**No changes to the current no hunting on Sunday law**
Supported by the majority of non-hunters and 24% of hunters also opposed Sunday hunting. It represents a win-lose option.

**Allow Sunday hunting by lottery or permit only**
This polled low with hunters but might be viable on some game lands. Another option offered in the new survey was to address quality game management on Sundays. Another idea was a permit for buck-only hunting on Sunday.

**All game lands go to 4-day week (M-W-F-Sat) for hunting exclusively; no other activities**
This was viewed by most as taking away something away, not as a solution. Yet, for some lands, only allowing hunting on certain days seemed reasonable to many.

**Allow only squirrel or rabbit hunting on Sundays**
This didn’t satisfy the safety concerns of non-hunters and seemed like a mere tease to the hunters.

**Add Sunday hunting, but shorten the deer season (to keep hunting pressure consistent)**
No support for this option among hunters and non-hunters.

**Offer hunting on select/alternate Sundays**
If it can be kept simple without complexity, it might get support. One variation offered in the listening sessions was to alternate by month. It could also serve as an option on select lands.
**Willingness to Pay**

Each focus group explored the issue of equity and fairness of game land financial support. Overall, there was strong willingness to consider alternative fees for non-hunters that would support the maintenance of game lands.

**Special Case**

The benefits to game lands of non-hunters buying hunting or fishing licenses were discussed. The potential for a Federal match gives this concept potential. Both hunting and fishing licenses provide an easy, currently available solution. If a new access license for non-hunters is adopted, participants ask that it include a check box to capture specific user activity.

User-Pay alternatives come with some strings attached and some “it depends” responses. Specific ideas and concerns included:

- Fees should be the same for hunters and non-hunters and reasonable ($15-25.)
- Fees need to demonstrate appropriate use of funds. Fees would be more palatable for non-hunters if they are part of a vision for public lands that benefited everybody.
- Non-hunters do not speak with one voice. Support for fees varied by user group, with horseback riders offering some of the strongest support. Trial groups and paddlers expressed some reservations about fees.
- A checkoff box that would enable non-hunting activities to be tracked and monitored would be desirable.
- The administrative costs, return on investment, and details on how a fee would function need to be determined to gain the support of some non-hunting groups.
- Different messages and benefit statements need to be tested with non-hunting groups.
- Fees would need to be easy and convenient for hikers and dog walkers. Pay on the spot.
- Would age restrictions apply? At what age would someone need a permit to be on game lands?
- Alternatives for out of state game land users would need to be considered. Consider a multi-day out of state pass or other alternative.
- There is an opportunity to encourage non-hunters who use game lands to contribute even more. Those who are actively using game lands can do more.
- Simplicity is the key to any option adapted.
Conclusions

Throughout this process stakeholders have expressed appreciation to WRC for multiple opportunities to share their perspectives and concerns about Sunday hunting on game lands. WRC’s commitment to active listening was recognized and appreciated.

A high bar has been set. Continued transparency, openness and engagement of a broad range of stakeholders in an ongoing game land conversation is hard work, but will ultimately lead to solutions that are well understood and supported statewide.

A series of decision criteria and guiding principles have been identified by this process that will enable WRC to determine the feasibility of Sunday hunting on game lands. Future decisions should be made based on new information and insight as it becomes available.

The process has highlighted the potential for hunters and non-hunters to increase appreciation of, and support for, game lands in North Carolina. The willingness of non-hunters to consider user fees that will support game lands is a strong positive. Additional study will be required to develop these alternatives.
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Appendix A: Survey Questions

2020 N.C. Wildlife Resources Commission Sunday Hunting on Game Lands Survey

Thank you for participating in this N.C. Wildlife Resources Commission (WRC) survey. Your responses will help identify issues and options related to Sunday hunting on game lands. The information you provide will also help the WRC better understand how North Carolina residents use game lands.

A few of the questions will require an answer. Once you start the survey, you need to complete all questions before exiting. The survey should take no more than 15-minutes.

1. Do you use game lands for any outdoor activity such as hunting, hiking, biking, horseback riding, etc.? Yes/No
   If Yes, drop to Q2
   If No, skip to Q6

2. How many days a year do you use game lands Please pick a whole number. Enter 0 if you don’t use game lands.

3. Do you hunt in North Carolina?

4. Do you hunt on game lands? (Y/N)

5. On which of the 93 game lands do you hunt? Please check the game lands that you most often use. (You may check up to 5 game lands)

6. Which days of the week do you typically hunt on game lands? (Please check all days that apply: Mon-Sat)

7. In a typical year, how many days do you hunt on game lands? (Please enter a number between 1 and 365)

8. Do you participate in any non-hunting outdoor activities on game lands such as hiking, biking, horseback riding, etc.?

9. In a typical year, how many days do you participate in the following outdoor activities on any game land? For each activity enter the number of days. If you did not participate, enter zero.
   Biking/Boating (motorized)/Canoeing & Kayaking/Camping/Dog training/Fishing/Geocaching/Hiking/Horseback Riding/Target Shooting/Trapping/Bird/Wildlife Watching/Other – please specify

10. On which of the 93 game lands do you participate in the outdoor activities selected above? (Please check all state game lands that you use.) Same list of 93 game lands.

11. Which day(s) of the week do you typically participate in the outdoor activities selected above on game lands? (Please check all days that apply: Mon-Sat)
12. To what extent do you support or oppose Sunday hunting on game lands?

13. Why do you believe the WRC should allow Sunday hunting on game lands?

14. Do you believe there are options that can address concerns about allowing Sunday hunting on game lands?

15. Why do you believe the WRC should not allow Sunday hunting on game lands?

16. Do you believe there are options that can address your concerns about allowing Sunday hunting on game lands?
   - I believe many of my concerns can be addressed.
   - I believe some of my concerns can be addressed.
   - I am not sure if there are options that can address my concerns.
   - I am skeptical my concerns can be addressed, but I am willing to listen to options.
   - I do not believe there are any options that can address my concerns.

17. Which of these statements best captures your use of game lands?
   - I am a regular user of state game lands for my outdoor activities.
   - I am an occasional user state game lands for my outdoor activities.
   - I rarely use state game lands for my outdoor activities.
   - I never use state game lands for my outdoor activities.

18. Please list the most important features of a game land for enjoying your favorite outdoor activities. (Examples: scenic view, large populations of a particular species, ponds, parking, trails, etc.)

19. There are game land users, including hunters, that oppose Sunday hunting on game lands in North Carolina. Do you have any suggestions for how the WRC could address the concerns of users opposed to Sunday hunting on game lands?

20. What is your vision for the future of game lands?

21. Please provide your current email address to receive additional information and updates on Sunday hunting on game lands.

22. Select the county you live in from the drop-down menu below.

23. What is your gender?

24. In what year were you born?
2020 N.C. Wildlife Resources Commission Sunday Hunting on Game Lands Survey

Brett Boston & Vern Herr
Group Solutions, Inc.

Preliminary Options
About the Survey Data

• This is a huge and statistically awesome sample size!
• The numbers are raw numbers and not fully analyzed
• The final numbers may be slightly different (+/- 2%) but will not significantly alter the big picture.
• We JUST downloaded the data and started processing, so there is more detailed info on the way.
• We are happy to answer your questions about the survey findings

Thank you for your input!

Overview

• 30,868 Responses
• 25,021 Use game lands – 81% of survey takers
• 17K+ hunt in NC
• 15,455 Hunt on game lands
• 14K+ told us…
  • What game lands they hunt on,
  • What days they hunt on each, and
  • How many days they hunt on each
• 17K+ Participate in some activity on game lands, other than hunting
• And they told us…
  • What game lands they use to recreate,
  • What days they recreate on each, and
  • How many days they recreate on each
Overview

- 27K+ told us their degree of support or opposition to Sunday hunting
- 25K+ told us their views about Sunday hunting
- 25K+ told us if they felt their concerns about Sunday hunting could be addressed with by exploring options
- 22K+ told us the about game land features that are important to them
- Almost 22K shared ideas for addressing concerns about Sunday hunting
- 21K+ people gave us thoughts about their vision for NC game lands
- 86% male and 13% female
- *And 8 people of over 120 years of age took the survey*

Who were the survey takers?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hunters</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Non-Hunters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12,496</td>
<td>95.5%</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
<td>2,809</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>40.2%</td>
<td>1,999</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to Share</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>Prefer not to Share</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Average Age of Survey Takers = 53.7 years
- Of Hunters (taking survey) = 50.1 years
- Of Non-Hunters (taking survey) 57.7 years
They were regular users of game lands

Identical reported use patterns for Hunters and Non-Hunters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Hunters Ranking of Activity</th>
<th>Non-Hunters Ranking of Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fishing</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>4th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiking</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camping</td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>6th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boating (Motorized)</td>
<td>4th</td>
<td>7th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird/Wildlife Watching</td>
<td>5th</td>
<td>2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canoeing/Kayaking</td>
<td>6th</td>
<td>5th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biking</td>
<td>7th</td>
<td>3rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Shooting</td>
<td>8th</td>
<td>10th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog Training</td>
<td>9th</td>
<td>9th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horseback Riding</td>
<td>10th</td>
<td>8th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trapping</td>
<td>11th</td>
<td>12th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geocaching</td>
<td>12th</td>
<td>11th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>13th</td>
<td>13th</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The average number of days hunters and non-hunters participate in non-hunting activities on game lands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Game Land Activities</th>
<th>Average number of days reported participating</th>
<th>Average number of days reported participating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fishing</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiking</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camping</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boating (Motorized)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird/Wildlife Watching</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canoeing/Kayaking</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biking</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Shooting</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog Training</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horseback Riding</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trapping</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geocaching</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Which of these 93 game lands do you use for the outdoor activities you selected?

**For Hunting**

**Hunter's Top 5**
- Pisgah NF 21.7%
- Uwharrie NF 17.9%
- Jordan 13.4%
- Butner-Falls of Neuse 12.3%
- Nantahala NF 11.3%

**For Non-Hunting**

**Hunter's Top 5**
- Pisgah NF 31.7%
- Uwharrie NF 20.7%
- Nantahala NF 18.1%
- Pisgah 14.9%
- Jordan 13.8%

**Non-Hunter's Top 5**
- Pisgah NF 47.7%
- Nantahala NF 30.8%
- Uwharrie NF 27.2%
- Dupont SF 24.4%
- Pisgah 21.0%
Which days of the week do you typically participate on game lands in the outdoor activities selected?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For Hunting</th>
<th>For Non-Hunting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hunters</strong></td>
<td><strong>Non-Hunters</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>Sunday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88.3%</td>
<td>90.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday</td>
<td>Saturday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.4%</td>
<td>86.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>Friday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.9%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.0%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>Monday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.9%</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To what extent do you support or oppose Sunday hunting on game lands?

**Hunters**
- 74% of Hunters Support or Somewhat Support Sunday Hunting
  - Totally Support: 67.0%
  - Somewhat Support: 6.8%
  - Undecided: 2.6%
- 24% of Hunters Oppose or Somewhat Oppose Sunday Hunting
  - Somewhat Oppose: 5.2%
  - Totally Oppose: 18.4%

**Non-Hunters**
- 22% of Non-Hunters Support or Somewhat Support Sunday Hunting
  - Totally Support: 17.0%
  - Somewhat Support: 4.7%
  - Undecided: 3.5%
- 75% of Non-Hunters Oppose or Somewhat Oppose Sunday Hunting
  - Somewhat Oppose: 11.8%
  - Totally Oppose: 62.9%
Why do you believe the WRC should allow Sunday hunting on game lands? (Hunters in Support)

• It works on private lands in NC with minimal conflict
• Boost hunting interest (R3: keep the sport alive)
• Hunters pay for maintenance via licenses and fees
• No legal reason to restrict it
• It works in 40+ other states
• Remove barriers to working class hunters (weekends/cost)
• Reduce Saturday overcrowding
• Boost revenue for local economies
• Improve management of animal populations
• Increase opportunities for subsistence hunting

Why do you believe the WRC should allow Sunday hunting on game lands? (Non-Hunters in Support)

• Why not? Why is Sunday treated any different from any other day?
• I believe it allows more opportunity to those that work on Saturdays
• No scientific evidence suggests negative or adverse effects to game from Sunday hunting - decisions for a resource should be made based on biology...period.
• I believe hunting seasons do not need to be limited in the absence of demonstrated human risk or risk to stability of game populations.
• Hunting on other days doesn't create an untenable safety risk, so why would Sunday hunting be uniquely dangerous?
• I would hate to have a day of the week where hiking wasn't allowed, so I somewhat support all days being open to hunting
• I don't like hunting. Just because I don't hunt, I wouldn't want to take hunting away from the ones who enjoy hunting.
• Deer population needs more control as impacts of white-tailed deer are evident through NC
• No hunting on Sunday is a super outdated blue law.
• If you can't hunt on Sunday why should you be able to fish, or ride bikes, or hike on Sunday?
Do you believe there are options that can address concerns about allowing Sunday hunting on game lands?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opposed to Sunday Hunting</th>
<th>For Sunday Hunting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Hunters</strong></td>
<td><strong>Hunters</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No options</td>
<td>No options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skeptical</td>
<td>Skeptical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>Many</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Many</td>
<td>Not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some</td>
<td>Some</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Why do you believe the WRC should NOT allow Sunday hunting on game lands? (Hunters Opposed)**

- Religious beliefs
- Safety for non-hunting Sunday users
- Saturday and Sundays are the only days ALL working people can enjoy the outdoors - It's not just hunters that work weekdays
- 6 days of hunting should be enough – give people and animals a break
- Tradition in NC – It has worked for 150 years
- Fairness issue: if I rest or observe a Sunday sabbath and others don’t
- Firearm and dog noise impacts on other users, neighbors, churches
- Too much pressure on the resource already
- Inadequate enforcement now, what will an extra day cost?
Why do you believe the WRC should NOT allow Sunday hunting on game lands? (Non-Hunters)

- Safety! Game lands are crowded with bikers and hikers who BTW should pay a user fee!
- One weekend day not to worry about my dogs being shot by deer or turkey hunter
- My opposition is based on religious beliefs, although I believe the time restrictions and set-back rules around houses of worship are good accommodations.
- A day to enjoy nature without dogs, guns shots, trucks speeding up and down the road.
- One day reserved for non-hunting activities and the ability of non-hunters to observe wildlife without interfering with hunting or causing a conflict between user groups.
- Landowners with properties bordering game lands need a day of rest.
- Sunday is usually the day most people can ride their horses.
- Safety - Most families, vacationer’s and tourist enjoy the Pisgah National Forest on the weekend. Children are playing in the rivers, on the hiking trails and biking trails.
- I am hesitant to support Sunday hunting because it would create temptation to skip church to hunt in the morning.

Please list the most important FEATURES of a game land for enjoying your favorite outdoor activities

- Wild, unspoiled places with remarkable scenery (beautiful, clean)
- Large tracks of uninhabited land (being in nature, solitude)
- Managed and preserved for future enjoyment
- Accessible to all – children, seniors, handicapped
- A place for relaxation, quiet and restoration/renewal
- Quality hunting and fishing opportunities
- Safety – safe parking, activities, trails, safe hunting
- Diverse, quality habitat that is appropriately managed (fire, food plots, healthy game, clean water, undisturbed, natural, hardwoods)
- Great Trails – for hiking, walking, biking, ATVs, horses, handicapped access
- Infrastructure parking lots, signage marked trails, designated use areas
What is your vision for the future of game lands?

Future-focused and sustainably managed
- Meets the needs of all North Carolinians
- To be used for hunting as well as other outdoor activities

Generous access and accessibility
- Better roads, parking etc.
- Senior and handicapped access (ATV trails)

Valued by all NC citizens, even if they don’t actively use them
An acceptable mix of hunting opportunities and outdoor recreation.
Abundant game and non-game species
Quality habitat managed for the benefit of humans and wildlife
Designated places for various uses
Serene, remote wilderness places
They remain safe for all users - well patrolled

What is your vision for the future of game lands?

All users pay their fair share
- Create a sustainable funding model
- Without careful management and proper funding they shrink and deteriorate

Keep them as-is
- Preserve/protect/conserve what we have

They expand and improve in quality
- More game lands and acreage
- Better/stricter management
- Cleaner
- Better road maintenance

They MAY shrink, deteriorate, and disappear
- Become overcrowded
- Development encroaches

Ethical hunting and fair chase - remove the bad eggs
Thanks for your participation!

Your input is important to us

Follow progress and updates on the website WRC project webpage at:

https://www.ncwildlife.org/SundayHuntingGL

Put any additional ideas you have on the comment card and drop it off in the box on the table.

Have a safe journey home.
Appendix C: A Quality Experience on Game Lands - Non-Hunters

What constitutes a quality experience for non-hunters on game lands? Qualitative open-ended input from non-hunters was analyzed. The following themes emerged:

- Well-marked and well-maintained multi-purpose trails. Trails were at the top of every list with the exception of hikers, where it was the second most often mentioned theme.

- Scenic views and beauty. NC’s natural beauty, scenic views were the second most-often mentioned theme for all other user groups.

- Peace and Quiet. The solitude and tranquility game lands offer are a primary reason many users prefer them.

- Abundant Wildlife. Encountering game and non-game species on game lands and the opportunity to view rare or endangered species, undisturbed.

- Wilderness Experience. Non-hunters value the vast blocks of unspoiled, untouched and unimproved NC game lands enable users to provide uncrowded experiences where they can relax and recharge from urban life. Included in this segment is an expectation that game lands should be protected for future generations.

- Access. Game lands that are easily accessible from the main road by car were mentioned by all groups. Users expect adequate safe, clean and well-maintained parking areas, especially on weekends.

- Safety. Fears of accidental gunshot and intimidation by those with high-powered weaponry were the lowest theme that occurred across all groups.

Other themes

- Being in Nature. This theme, expressed exactly this way, occurred frequently enough to be mentioned. For some, this is reason enough to visit game lands.

- Varied habitat and terrain. Few states offer the combination of mountain to sea experiences that NC does. For some user groups this is an important attribute.

- Fitness and Exercise. Increasingly, game lands are becoming destinations for trail runners, hikers and mountain bikers whose priorities are challenge and adventure. As the game land users continue to age, this group may become more important in the future.

- Friends and Family. While not exclusive to non-hunters, the social connections of experience game land activities with friends and family is a significant part of a quality game land experience.
Appendix D: NC WRC Listening Session Locations

Week One:
All Sessions 7 pm to 9pm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monday 2/10</td>
<td>Martin Community College Auditorium Building 2</td>
<td>1161 Kehukee Park Rd, Williamston, NC 27892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday 2/11</td>
<td>Bladen Community College Auditorium</td>
<td>7418 NC Hwy 41W, Dublin, NC 28332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday 2/12</td>
<td>Foothills Higher Education Center HEC-163 Auditorium</td>
<td>2128 S Sterling St, Morganton, NC 28655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday 2/13</td>
<td>Haywood Community College Regional High Technology Center (RHTC) Auditorium</td>
<td>185 Freedlander Dr, Clyde, NC 28721</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Week Two

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monday 2/17</td>
<td>Virtual Meeting I and face to face at Raleigh NC WRC</td>
<td>1751 Varsity Dr, Raleigh, NC 27606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday 2/18</td>
<td>Alamance Community College Auditorium</td>
<td>1247 Jimmy Kerr Road, Graham, NC 27253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday 2/10</td>
<td>James Garner Center</td>
<td>210 Burnette St, Troy NC 27371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday 2/20</td>
<td>Virtual Meeting II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix E: Focus Group Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>June 9</th>
<th>June 10</th>
<th>June 11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access Fund</td>
<td>Congressional Sportsmen's Foundation</td>
<td>Association of County Commissioners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zach Lesch-Huie</td>
<td>John Culclasure</td>
<td>Amber Harris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appalachian Trail Society</td>
<td>Jordan Lake Trails Conservation Association</td>
<td>Backcountry Hunters &amp; Anglers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan Sommerville</td>
<td>Katie Helm</td>
<td>Luke Weingarten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carolina Bird Club</td>
<td>League of Municipalities</td>
<td>Carolinas' Nature Photographers Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marilyn Westphal</td>
<td>Amber Harris</td>
<td>Jeff Eichinger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carolina Climbers Coalition</td>
<td>National Deer Alliance</td>
<td>Mountains to Sea Trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Reardon</td>
<td>Torin Miller</td>
<td>Kate Dixon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green River Access Fund</td>
<td>National Shooting Sports Foundation</td>
<td>National Rifle Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Grace</td>
<td>Trevor Santos</td>
<td>Erica Tergeson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Country Audubon Society</td>
<td>National Wild Turkey Federation</td>
<td>NC Audubon Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guy McGrane</td>
<td>Chris Coxen</td>
<td>Greg Andeck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC Backcountry Horsemen</td>
<td>NC Birding Trail</td>
<td>NC Bowhunters Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heather Cox</td>
<td>Lena Gallitano</td>
<td>Sean Maloy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pisgah Area SORBA</td>
<td>NC Falcons Guild</td>
<td>NC Landowner Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Sauret</td>
<td>Bob Pendergast</td>
<td>Carl Demaris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trout Unlimited</td>
<td>NC Horse Council</td>
<td>NC Wildlife Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Mihalas</td>
<td>Sue Gray</td>
<td>Tim Gestwicki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New Hope Audubon</td>
<td>Quality Deer Management Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Barbara Driscoll</td>
<td>Kip Adams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NC Conservation Council</td>
<td>Ruffed Grouse Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>David Whitmire</td>
<td>Brent Rudolph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NC Conservation Council</td>
<td>Uwharrie Trailblazers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sierrra Club</td>
<td>David Craft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Robert Scull</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sportsmen's Alliance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jacob Hupp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix F: WRC Focus Group Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>June 9</th>
<th>June 10</th>
<th>June 11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chris Bova</td>
<td>Chris Baranski</td>
<td>Chris Baranski</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will Casola</td>
<td>Brady Beck</td>
<td>Brady Beck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Dawes</td>
<td>Jessie Birckhead</td>
<td>Jessie Birckhead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Jordan</td>
<td>Chris Bova</td>
<td>Chris Bova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian McRae</td>
<td>Will Casola</td>
<td>Will Casola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrie Ruhlman</td>
<td>Richie Clark</td>
<td>Richie Clark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Stewart</td>
<td>Chris Dawes</td>
<td>Chris Dawes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Thompson</td>
<td>Brian McRae</td>
<td>Chris Jordan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chesley Ward</td>
<td>Carrie Ruhlman</td>
<td>Brian McRae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>David Steward</td>
<td>Carrie Ruhlman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paul Thompson</td>
<td>David Steward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>David Turner</td>
<td>David Turner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chesley Ward</td>
<td>Brent Wilson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brent Wilson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>